Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,734	BERNARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DONG JIANG	1646
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>after non-final</u>		
(1) <u>DONG JIANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephanie Wardwell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>6/15/10 and 7/1/10</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: claims 4-7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16-28, 30-33, 37, 44 and 45		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Dong Jiang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner contacted the applicant, discussing potentially allowable subject matter, and remaining issues, with suggestions for claim amendment. The examiner indicated that the amended claims 27, 28 and 30 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement and adequate written description. The examiner also indicated rejoinder of group IV invention (claims 4 and 44 in part, and claims 46-50), directed to an IL-15 mutein which is an IL-15 antagonist, and pointed out the remaining issues with these claims. Applicants agreed to file supplemental amendment, amending the claims and canceling other non-elected/withdrawn claims.